

# Student's Information 2023-24

Compulsory Details for Final Examination

Email \*

st7306577@gmail.com

Name of the student \*

Shivani tiwari

Class \*

- I year
- II year
- III Year
- M.Sc IInd Semester
- M.Sc IV semester
- PG Diploma

Registration Number \*

BSCN/CHEBOT/2021/10

---

Enrollment Number

R2100933030420

E-mail Id \*

st7306577@gmail.com

Mobile number \*

9301231184

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Student's Information 2023-24

Compulsory Details for Final Examination

Email \*

mehrasikha63@gamil.com

Name of the student \*

Shikha Mehra

Class \*

- I year
- II year
- III Year
- M.Sc IInd Semester
- M.Sc IV semester
- PG Diploma

Registration Number \*

BSCN/PHCM/2021/509

---

Enrollment Number

R2000933030809

E-mail Id \*

mehrasikha63@gamil.com

Mobile number \*

06261246352

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Student's Information 2023-24

Compulsory Details for Final Examination

Email \*

shubhipatel6261@gmail.com

Name of the student \*

Shubhi patel

Class \*

- I year
- II year
- III Year
- M.Sc IInd Semester
- M.Sc IV semester
- PG Diploma

Registration Number \*

BSCN/ZOO CHE/2021/47

---



Enrollment Number

R2100933030721

E-mail Id \*

shubhipatel6261@gmail.com

Mobile number \*

6266583334

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher \*

Dr.Archana Gupta

---

Designation \*

Professor



Department \*

Human development

Mobile Number \*

9425188836

E-mail Address \*

archanagupta995@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Home Science ▼

Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher \*

Dr. Rachna Pandey

---

Designation \*

Professor



Department \*

Botany

Mobile Number \*

8225025761

E-mail Address \*

mahiampatelmahima763@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Excellent

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher \*

Dr.Rajkumar Goutam

---



Designation \*

Guest Faculty (Vacant)



Department \*

Physics,Electronics and Computer Maintenance

Mobile Number \*

9826749363

E-mail Address \*

Goutam.rajkumar14@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Maths-Science ▼

Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

No

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher \*

Rajni Nigam

---

Designation \*

Associate Professor



Department \*

Botany

Mobile Number \*

9826848605

E-mail Address \*

rajnigam3@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Project work and internship work should be more practical.

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni \*

Dr Nandita Sarkar

---

Designation \*

Principal

---

Department \*

Food and nutrition

---

Name of the College/University \*

Govt M.H.College of Home Science and Science Jabalpur

---

Mobile Number \*

9893087424

E-mail Address \*

nandita.sarkar@yahoo.co.in

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Home Science ▼

Following are questions for online alumni satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum



Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

## 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni \*

Anju nanda

---

Designation \*

Dr rachna Pandey ma'am is veri good teacher and lot of love for every students and teaching is maind booloeng I am stsfay thank you ma'am

---

Department \*

MSc botany 4th semester

---

Name of the College/University \*

Govt M.H college of home science for women autonomous college jablpur

---

Mobile Number \*

8827818296

E-mail Address \*

nanju2028@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Choose



Following are questions for online alumni satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Clean bathroom to botany department

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni \*

Dr. Anuradha Dave

---

Designation \*

Professor

---

Department \*

Department of Botany and microbiology

---

Name of the College/University \*

Government M. H. college of H. Sc. and Sc. for women Jabalpur

---

Mobile Number \*

9589320954

E-mail Address \*

juhidave36@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online alumni satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Nothing

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni \*

Vidya panika

---

Designation \*

MSC 4 sem

---

Department \*

Botany

---

Name of the College/University \*

Govt mh clg of home science

---



Mobile Number \*

6262648892

E-mail Address \*

vidyapanika6262@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online alumni satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Yes

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Student Satisfaction Survey 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. \*

Yes

No

Age \*

24

---

College Name \*

Govt M H College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Jabalpur, M P

---

What degree program are you pursuing now? \*

- Bachelor's
- Master's
- PG Diploma
- Certificate Course
- Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? \*

- Science
- Home Science
- Others

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? \*

- 85 to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Indifferently
- Won't teach at all

How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

- Always effective
- Sometimes effective
- Just satisfactorily
- Generally ineffective
- Very poor communication



The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as \*

Excellent

Very good

Good

Fair

Poor

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. \*

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

Every time

Usually

Occasionally/Sometimes

Rarely

Never

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. \*

- Regularly
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

\*

- Significantly
- Very Well
- Moderately
- Marginally
- Not At All

The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.



- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. \*

- Fully
- Reasonably
- Partially
- Slightly
- Unable to

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not At All

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. \*  
while teaching.

Above 90%

70 – 89%

50 – 69%

30 – 49%

Below 29%



The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning experience in your institution. \*

No ,I don't give any suggestions

---

# Google Forms

# Student Satisfaction Survey 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. \*

Yes

No

Age \*

19

---

College Name \*

Government M.H. college of Home science and science women autonomous, jabalpur

---

What degree program are you pursuing now? \*

- Bachelor's
- Master's
- PG Diploma
- Certificate Course
- Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? \*

- Science
- Home Science
- Others

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? \*

- 85 to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Indifferently
- Won't teach at all



How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

- Always effective
- Sometimes effective
- Just satisfactorily
- Generally ineffective
- Very poor communication

The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as \*

Excellent

Very good

Good

Fair

Poor

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. \*

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. \*

- Regularly
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

\*

- Significantly
- Very Well
- Moderately
- Marginally
- Not At All

The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.

\*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. \*

- Fully
- Reasonably
- Partially
- Slightly
- Unable to

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

\*

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not At All



What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. \*  
while teaching.

Above 90%

70 – 89%

50 – 69%

30 – 49%

Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning experience in your institution. \*

No

---

# Google Forms

# Student Satisfaction Survey 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. \*

Yes

No

Age \*

22

---

College Name \*

MH college of home science jabalpur

---

What degree program are you pursuing now? \*

- Bachelor's
- Master's
- PG Diploma
- Certificate Course
- Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? \*

- Science
- Home Science
- Others

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? \*

85 to 100%

70 to 84%

55 to 69%

30 to 54%

Below 30%



How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Indifferently
- Won't teach at all

How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

- Always effective
- Sometimes effective
- Just satisfactorily
- Generally ineffective
- Very poor communication

The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as \*

Excellent

Very good

Good

Fair

Poor

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. \*

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. \*

- Regularly
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

\*

- Significantly
- Very Well
- Moderately
- Marginally
- Not At All

The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree



Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.



- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. \*

- Fully
- Reasonably
- Partially
- Slightly
- Unable to

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree



Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not At All

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. \*  
while teaching.

Above 90%

70 – 89%

50 – 69%

30 – 49%

Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning experience in your institution. \*

1. Discrimination
  - 2.carrer knowledge provider
  - 3.scholarship teacher proper response for student
- 

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Student Satisfaction Survey 2023-2024

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. \*

Yes

No

Age \*

19

---

College Name \*

Mh clg jbp

---

What degree program are you pursuing now? \*

- Bachelor's
- Master's
- PG Diploma
- Certificate Course
- Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? \*

- Science
- Home Science
- Others

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)



How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? \*

- 85 to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Indifferently
- Won't teach at all

How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

- Always effective
- Sometimes effective
- Just satisfactorily
- Generally ineffective
- Very poor communication

The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as \*

Excellent

Very good

Good

Fair

Poor

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. \*

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. \*

- Regularly
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

\*

- Significantly
- Very Well
- Moderately
- Marginally
- Not At All



The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.

\*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. \*

- Fully
- Reasonably
- Partially
- Slightly
- Unable to

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not at all



Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work. \*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not At All

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. \* while teaching.

Above 90%

70 – 89%

50 – 69%

30 – 49%

Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning experience in your institution. \*

1. Sabhi bacchon ko ek saman treat kare .
  2. Scholarship teacher prefer response for students.
  3. career knowledge provider in all field and motivate students .
- 

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Student's Information 2023-24

Compulsory Details for Final Examination

Email \*

prachimehr133@gmail.com

Name of the student \*

Prachi jhariya

Class \*

- I year
- II year
- III Year
- M.Sc IInd Semester
- M.Sc IV semester
- PG Diploma

Registration Number \*

BSCN/CHEMAT/2022/8

---

Enrollment Number

1220780075138

E-mail Id \*

prachimehr133@gmail.com

Mobile number \*

8770685213

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms